



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/973,968 10/09/2001 Joachim Noack 02565/93 8345 26646 **EXAMINER** 7590 09/22/2004 **KENYON & KENYON** THOMPSON, KATHRYN L ONE BROADWAY ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK, NY 10004 3763

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•	•			
	Office Action Summary	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/973,96	68	NOACK, JOACHIM	
		Examine	<u> </u>	Art Unit	
			. Thompson	3763	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on the	e cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 May 2004</u> .				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	. /				
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims		·		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>				
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			·	
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachmer	nt(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Frmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/973,968

Art Unit: 3763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peabody et al (5,643,201) in view of Veech (4,668,400). Peabody et al discloses a method for determining intraperitoneal volume during peritoneal dialysis comprising the steps of passing peritoneal solution from a peritoneal cavity, passing dialyzing fluid, measuring the concentration of an endogenous substance, determining the intraperitoneal volume from the variation in the concentration over time, and determining an ultrafiltration rate (Column 4, Line 8 – Column 6, Line 4; Entire reference). Veech discloses measuring the concentration of an endogenous substance such as albumin. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Veech and modify the invention of Peabody et al since endogenous substances are notoriously well known in the art for being used in peritoneal dialysis.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simon et al (5,542,919) in view of Veech. Simon et al discloses a method for determining intraperitoneal volume during peritoneal dialysis comprising the steps of passing peritoneal solution from a peritoneal cavity, passing dialyzing fluid, measuring

Art Unit: 3763

the concentration of an endogenous substance, determining the intraperitoneal volume from the variation in the concentration over time, and determining an ultrafiltration rate (Column 2, Line 28 – Column 3, Line 16; Entire reference). Veech discloses measuring the concentration of an endogenous substance such as albumin. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Veech and modify the invention of Simon et al since endogenous substances (albumin) are notoriously well known in the art for being used in peritoneal dialysis.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tysk et al (3,620,215) in view of Veech. Tysk et al discloses a method for determining intraperitoneal volume during peritoneal dialysis comprising the steps of passing peritoneal solution from a peritoneal cavity, passing dialyzing fluid, measuring the concentration of an endogenous substance, determining the intraperitoneal volume from the variation in the concentration over time, and determining an ultrafiltration rate (Entire reference). Veech discloses measuring the concentration of an endogenous substance such as albumin. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Veech and modify the invention of Tysk et al et al since endogenous substances are notoriously well known in the art for being used in peritoneal dialysis.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EPA 0,149,001 in view of Veech. EPA 0,149,001 discloses a method for determining intraperitoneal volume during peritoneal dialysis comprising the steps of passing peritoneal solution from a peritoneal cavity, passing dialyzing fluid, measuring the concentration of an endogenous substance, determining the intraperitoneal volume from

Art Unit: 3763

the variation in the concentration over time, and determining an ultrafiltration rate (Entire reference). Veech discloses measuring the concentration of an endogenous substance such as albumin. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Veech and modify the invention of EPA 0,149,001 since endogenous substances are notoriously well known in the art for being used in peritoneal dialysis.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ash (US 6,409,699) in view of Veech. Ash discloses a method for determining intraperitoneal volume during peritoneal dialysis comprising the steps of passing peritoneal solution from a peritoneal cavity, passing dialyzing fluid, measuring the concentration of an endogenous substance, determining the intraperitoneal volume from the variation in the concentration over time, and determining an ultrafiltration rate (Column 6, Lines 1 – 56 Entire reference). Veech discloses measuring the concentration of an endogenous substance such as albumin. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Veech and modify the invention of Peabody et al since endogenous substances are notoriously well known in the art for being used in peritoneal dialysis.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,968

Art Unit: 3763

## Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn L. Thompson whose telephone number is 703-305-3286. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 6:00 PM: 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KLT

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700